

Progesterone use and decreased risk of breast cancer in a cohort study of premenopausal women with benign breast disease

G. Piu-Bureau^{1,2}, M.G. Le¹, R. Stiruk-Ware², J.C. Thalabard^{2,3} & P. Mauvais-Jarvis²

¹INSERM, U351, Gustave-Roussy Institute, 94805 Villejuif, France; ²Department of Reproductive Endocrinology, Necker Hospital, 75015 Paris, France; ³Clinical Pharmacology, HCL-Lyon I University, 69003 Lyon, France.

Summary A cohort study of 1,150 premenopausal French women with benign breast disease diagnosed in two breast clinics between 1976 and 1979 was carried out to analyse the relationship between progesterone use and the risk of breast cancer. The follow-up accumulated 12,462 person-years. The risk of breast cancer was estimated using a Poisson regression analysis on person-time data and the proportional hazards model. In the later analysis, cumulated progesterone use and age were considered as time-varying covariables and adjustment was performed on the main risk factors for breast cancer. Neither overall progesterone use nor the duration of use was found to be significantly associated with the risk of breast cancer. When progesterogens were classified into two categories according to their hormonal potency (19-nortestosterone derivatives vs other progesterogens), 19-nortestosterone derivative use was found to be significantly associated with a lower risk of breast cancer. In the adjusted model, the corresponding risk of breast cancer was 0.48 (95% confidence interval 0.25-0.90). In addition, there was a linear trend in the decrease of the relative risk of breast cancer with the duration of use ($P = 0.02$). These results do not support the hypothesis that progesterogens might increase the risk of breast cancer. They suggest, instead, that treatment with 19-nortestosterone derivatives might have a beneficial effect on the risk of breast cancer in women with benign breast disease.

(Persson *et al.*, 1992), the risk of BC was significantly increased in the group of ever-users of combined HRT when the elapsed time since first prescription was longer than 7 years. However, the exact duration of HRT use had not been taken into account in this analysis. Recent meta-analyses on HRT and risk of breast cancer, taking into account combined oestrogen-progesterone use, did not conclude that there is an excess risk in combined HRT users (Sillero-Arenas *et al.*, 1992; Colditz *et al.*, 1993).

Controversial results have also been reported when considering the biological effects of progesterogens on the normal breast epithelial tissue. Several reviews addressing this issue have been established in the past few years (Clarke & Sutherland, 1990; Staffa *et al.*, 1992; Stanton & Thomas, 1993). In some studies (Bassler, 1970; Vogel *et al.*, 1981), the mitotic activity of breast epithelial cells was reported to be higher during the follicular than during the luteal phase in normal cycling women, while other authors have shown a peak of mitotic activity of epithelial cells and DNA synthesis in the late luteal phase (Masters *et al.*, 1977; Meyer, 1977; Ferguson & Anderson, 1981; Longacre & Bartow, 1986; Potten *et al.*, 1988). As this period of the menstrual cycle immediately follows the peaks of secretion of both progesterone and oestrogen, these authors inferred that progesterone is involved in the promotion of breast epithelial cell mitoses, in contrast to the well-documented antiproliferative effect of progesterone on the endometrium (Clarke & Sutherland, 1990). However, this hypothesis remains to be confirmed as the exact timing of the biopsy within the menstrual cycle was sometimes questionable. Furthermore, the putative specific role of progesterone, mainly based on a temporal relationship, could not be separated from the possible effects of other hormones, including oestrogen, also secreted at this period of the cycle.

The term progesterogens designates a large family of molecules characterised by their high affinity for the progesterone receptors but with various binding capacities to androgen receptors and different metabolisms (Mauvais-Jarvis, 1983; Horwitz *et al.*, 1985). This implies that they should be considered as distinct, yet related, therapeutic agents. More particularly, 19-nortestosterone derivatives have been reported to have a strong antigonadotropic effect which results in an inhibition of the ovarian oestrogen secretion (Kutten *et al.*, 1978; Barrat & Durand Chene, 1980); in addition, they have an anti-oestrogenic effect on the endometrial target cell level (Edgren & Sturtevant, 1976).

The high incidence of breast cancer in developed countries together with the slow progress in its treatment have stimulated interest in the exploration and validation of methods able to reduce the risk of breast cancer (BC). Oestrogens have been recognised as one of the key factors involved in the malignant transformation of breast cells in both animal models and humans (Eisen, 1932; Bassler, 1970; Miller & Bulbrook, 1980; Lippman *et al.*, 1986; Henderson *et al.*, 1988). In contrast, the role of progesterogens in the aetiology of breast cancer is less established. Epidemiological studies have provided conflicting results, ranging from a protective effect to a deleterious effect of progesterone use on progesterone-only pill users as compared with never-users of OOC use found a reduced risk of breast cancer in BC risk. For instance, some studies on oral contraceptive use found a reduced risk of breast cancer when the amount of this progesterone increased (Royal College of General Practitioners, 1977; Britton *et al.*, 1981). The WHO Collaborative Study (MVA) on the use of medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA) did not conclude that users and non-users differ globally with respect to the risk of BC. In contrast, the young women who had used OC, classified by Pike *et al.* (1983) as having a high progesterone potency, had a higher BC risk than the non-users of OCs. Studies on the effects of combined hormonal replacement therapy (HRT) in menopausal women provide another source of information upon the effects of progesterogens on BC risk. In a cohort study of menopausal women receiving a combined oestrogen-progesterone HRT than the BC risk of the general population (Gambrell *et al.*, 1983, 1986). However, this study suffered several methodological weaknesses (Lee & Rubin, 1984; Ernster & Cummings, 1986). More recently, in a cohort of menopausal women, the BC risk was found to be higher, but not significantly so, in women who had used combined HRT for a long period of time (6-9 years) than in never-users (Berkvist *et al.*, 1989). In the last report on this study

Correspondence: G. Piu-Bureau, Médecine de la Reproduction, Hôpital Necker, 149, rue de Sévres, 75015 Paris, France.
Received 17 May 1993; and in revised form 18 February 1994.

Therefore, they have been widely used, at least in France, for many years to treat various gynaecological disorders, whenever a transitory suppression of the ovarian function was necessary. Beneficial effects of the oral administration of progesterons on hormone-dependent benign mammary symptoms have been reported (Mauvais-Jarvis, 1988), although the benefits of local percutaneous administration remain dubious (McFadyen *et al.*, 1989). In the late 1970s, we hypothesized that the chronic administration of progesterons, and particularly 19-nortestosterone derivatives (Kuttern *et al.*, 1978; Mauvais-Jarvis *et al.*, 1982), at a dose which exerted an antigonadotropic effect, could reduce the risk of breast cancer. We present the results of a cohort study of 1,150 premenopausal women with BBD designed to investigate this hypothesis.

Material and methods

Definition of the population

The study was conducted in two French hospitals, one in Paris and one in the inner suburban area: the Hôpital Necker (NH) and the Institut Gustave Roussy (IGR) respectively. Patients were considered eligible for the study if they were French-born, 20–50 years old, premenopausal, had a diagnosis of BBD or isolated cyclical mastalgia, and if they had no personal history of breast cancer or cancer at another site. BBD included nodular hyperplasia, fibroadenoma, fibrocystic disease, isolated cyst, isolated mastalgia and nipple discharge (excluding galactorrhoea), diagnosed by a standard procedure including, at least, general and breast clinical examination and mammography. The diagnosis was based on clinical symptoms, bilateral breast palpation according to Haagensen's (1971) description and radiological abnormalities. Additional ultrasonography, cytology and histological verification was performed when necessary.

All consecutive eligible women seen for the first time in the NH between 1976 and 1979, and in the IGR between 1977 and 1978, were included in the study. The cohort size was based on a 10 year BC rate of 6% observed in a corresponding group of premenopausal patients having presented with BBD in the preceding years at the IGR (unpublished data) and on the assumption of a 3-fold lower risk of BC among progesterone users than among non-users (type I error, 0.05; type II error, 0.05; unilateral situation). The calculation of the sample size was performed using a standard method (Freedman, 1982). The inclusion periods were determined in order to recruit 600 patients in each centre. Patients diagnosed as having BC during the year following the first visit to the clinic were excluded from the study, in order to exclude pre-existing BC.

Data collection

Six specially trained gynaecologists were in charge of the management of the study for both centres and filled in the questionnaires. The initial and follow-up interviews were performed by the senior consultant, who reported all relevant information to the medical records. The data from the medical records, including all the additional investigations, were then transferred to the questionnaires. The initial questionnaire included information about known and suspected BC risk factors, the type of BBD and procedure of diagnosis, including biopsy when performed, and past therapies. The follow-up questionnaire included detailed information on all hormonal treatments used between the visits, i.e. the compliance to the hormonal treatments previously prescribed and any additional treatment, details on the main intercurrent events such as pregnancy and its outcome, the occurrence of menopause and gynaecological or general disorders. All patients who failed to return to the clinic were contacted by mail. They were asked to complete and return a similar questionnaire. This questionnaire requested information

The progesterons were categorized according to their type and dosage into two categories. The first category comprised 19-nortestosterone derivatives administered for 15–20 days per cycle and included lynestrenol, 10 mg daily (Orgranetri), norethisterone acetate, 10 mg daily (Primolut-Nor), norethisterone, 10 mg daily (Nortin), and ethynodiol diacetate, 8 mg daily (Lutometrodol). The second one comprised all other progesterons such as pregnane or norepregnane derivatives. No oestrogen was associated with these progesterone treatments.

Classification of progesterons

Statistical methods

The risk of BC was evaluated using two different methods: (1) the Poisson regression analysis and (2) the Cox proportional hazards model. In these two analyses, the follow-up period started at the time of inclusion and ended in December 1990. In the Poisson regression analysis, the incidence rate of breast cancer was equal, for each considered category, to the ratio of the observed breast cancers divided by the number of the corresponding exposed women expressed in person-months. The person-month data corresponding to the categories of the following time-varying covariables, i.e. attained age and cumulated progesterone use, were generated by means of a FORTRAN program adapted from Pearce and Checkoway (1987). As the inclusion period was restricted to only 4 years, the calendar time was not added to the model. The Poisson regression, performed on the person-month data by means of the statistical package EGRET (1990), was used to estimate the attained age- and cumulated progesterone use-adjusted incidence rates of breast cancer.

The Cox proportional hazards model (Cox, 1972) was also used, as it provides greater flexibility when adjusting simultaneously on several confounding variables. In this approach, progesterone use during the follow-up period was considered as a time-dependent variable; it was incorporated into the model as a cumulated duration variable until the diagnosis of BC or until a censoring event, i.e. the last visit to the clinic or to the patient's personal physician, the death from another origin than breast cancer, or a prophylactic bilateral mastectomy. As the incidence of breast cancer is clearly age dependent, the analysis was performed using attained age as a time-varying covariable as well. In addition to a model using only progesterone duration of use and age, the nine following potential confounding variables were then added to the model, i.e. type of BBD, fibrocystic disease vs all other types of BBD, age at first visit (categories: < 30; 30–34; 35–39; 40–45; > 45 years old), history of breast cancer in mother or sisters, age at menarche (< 12; 13; > 13 years old), parity (0; 1–2; 3+), age at first full-term pregnancy (less than 25 years vs 25 years or more), oral contraceptive use (0; 1–4; > 4 years), time elapsed since menopause during the follow-up period and socioeconomic status coded according to the French INSEE classification (INSEE, 1983) and clustered into three categories (low, middle, high). The statistical analysis was performed using the BMDP statistical software

2L (Dixon, 1981). Interactions between progestogen use and the above-mentioned confounding variables were also introduced into the model and tested. Tests for statistical significance were based on the regression coefficients and their standard errors.

Results

Description of the population

A total of 1,150 patients were included: 618 at the IGR and 532 at NH. No patient refused the initial interview. Fifty-two per cent of the patients had a fibrocystic disease, 27% a fibroadenoma or nodular hyperplasia, 11% other physical lesions and 10% isolated cyclical mastalgia. The diagnosis was histologically confirmed in 28%, 22%, 25% and 0% of the cases respectively. Progestogens had been prescribed to 766 patients (67%). The characteristics of ever-users according to the main characteristics of the patients are shown in Table I. The highest proportion of ever-users was observed in women aged 35-39 with a high socioeconomic status, a history of breast cancer in mother or sisters and an early age at menarche. No significant difference in progestogen use was

found according to the other characteristics of the population, including the type of BBD. Menopause occurred in 527 women during the follow-up period. Of these, only 31% received HRT (median duration of use 24 months). The women who did not use progestogens had no other medical treatment for their BBD. Oral contraceptives were mainly used before BBD, and only 138 patients (12% of the women) used OC after the disappearance of the BBD symptoms (median duration of use 30 months).

Description of the follow-up

A total of 12,462 person-years was documented, and 82% of the patients in the cohort had a follow-up exceeding 10 years. The mean attrition rate for the patients lost to follow-up in the cohort was 1.8% per year. Of the patients lost to follow-up, six died from an unknown cause with an equal distribution among progestogen users and non-users (three patients in each group). During the follow-up period, 44 patients were diagnosed as having BC. All have been histologically verified. In addition, two women died from a cancer at another site, one from a non-malignant disease and two women underwent a prophylactic bilateral mastectomy.

Table I Progestogen use according to the characteristics of the patients in the cohort

Characteristics	Number of Ever-users	n	%	P*
Age at first visit (years)				
20-29	225	147	65	
35-39	373	272	73	
40-50	552	347	63	0.006
Socioeconomic status				
Low	164	92	56	
Middle	501	323	64	
High	485	351	72	0.0003
Family history of breast cancer in mother or sisters				
No	1018	666	65	
Yes	132	100	76	0.02
Age at menarche (years)				
8-12	380	274	72	
13	330	221	67	
14+	440	271	62	0.006
Number of children				
0	271	182	67	
1-2	681	463	68	
3+	198	121	61	0.19
Age at first full-term pregnancy (years)				
18-24	455	292	64	
25-29	303	213	70	
30+	121	79	65	0.21
Oral contraceptive use (months)				
0	712	468	66	
1-48	303	214	71	
49+	135	84	62	0.16
Type of BBD				
Mastodynia	114	71	62	
Adenofibroma	311	218	70	
Fibrocystic disease	596	392	66	
Other	129	85	66	0.41
Breast biopsy				
No	876	583	67	
Yes	274	183	67	0.94
Menopausal status ^b				
Yes	54	31	57	
Artificial	473	315	67	
Natural	623	420	67	0.33

*P-value, test for homogeneity between categories. ^bMenopause occurring during the

Risk factors for breast cancer

The characteristics of the population and the number of observed BCs according to the main potential confounders and the progestogen use are shown in Table II, together with the corresponding relative risks. The risk of the BC was found to be significantly increased in women with a late age at first visit and in women with a fibrocystic disease. None of the other considered factors significantly modified the risk of BC.

Progestogens and risk of breast cancer

The raw 10 year risk of breast cancer in untreated patients and in patients who had received progestogen treatment were 5% (95% CI 2-7%) and 2% (95% CI 1-3%) respectively (log-rank test, $P = 0.03$). A description of the number of breast cancers by age and cumulated duration of progestogen use is given in Table III. The risk of breast cancer associated with cumulated progestogen use, estimated by the two statistical methods, is shown in Table IV. The Poisson regression analysis and the Cox model using age and progestogen duration of use gave consistent results. When all types of progestogens were pooled together, the relative risk of breast cancer for users was not significantly different from unity, as compared with non-users. When each type of progestogen was considered separately, the three estimated risks of BC were lower in 19-nortestosterone derivative users than in non-users. In addition, a significant linear trend was observed with the duration of 19-nortestosterone derivative use in the adjusted model ($P = 0.02$). In all the statistical analyses, no

To detect the potential bias due to the women lost to follow-up, we have compared the percentages of patients lost to follow-up during the first 10 years according to the main characteristics of our population (Table V). The highest percentage of patients lost to follow-up was observed in the youngest patients, i.e. in patients who had the lowest risk of BC. High percentages of loss to follow-up were also observed in patients with fibroadenoma, nulliparous women and patients without a history of breast biopsy, but these women were also younger. When we estimated the potential number of BCs occurring in the women lost to follow-up, based on the 10 year rate according to the five age categories, only an additional five BCs were expected. Therefore, it seems unlikely that the number of BCs in women lost to follow-up could account for the difference observed between the treated and untreated women and alter the results.

Since most of the patients recruited for the study had no histologically verified BBD, additional analyses were performed on subgroups of patients with different risks of BC, such as patients with fibrocystic disease, patients with mastalgia and patients with histologically proven BBD. The risks of BC in relation to progestogen use in each of these subgroups of patients were similar to the risks of BC in the global analysis.

Bias assessment

None of the tested interactions was significant. Significant association was observed between ever-use or duration of use of other progestogens and the risk of BC.

Table II Relative risks of breast cancer (BC) associated with the main potential confounders

Characteristics	Progestogen non-users		Progestogen ever-users		P
	Total (BC)	Total (BC)	Total (BC)	RR (95% CI) ^a	
Age at first visit (years)					
20-29	78	(2)	147	1.0 ^d	
35-39	101	(8)	272	2.8 (0.7-10.1)	0.0007 ^e
40-50	205	(10)	347	7.4 (1.9-28.6)	
Socioeconomic status					
Low	72	(3)	92	1.0 ^d	
Middle	178	(11)	323	1.4 (0.5-3.7)	
High	134	(6)	351	0.8 (0.3-2.4)	0.45 ^e
Family history of breast cancer in mother or sisters					
No	352	(19)	666	1.0 ^d	
Yes	32	(1)	100	1.0 (0.3-3.0)	0.87
Age at menarche (years)					
8-12	106	(3)	274	1.0 ^d	
13	109	(7)	221	0.8 (0.3-1.7)	
14+	169	(10)	271	1.3 (0.6-2.6)	0.43 ^e
Number of children					
0	89	(7)	182	1.0 ^d	
1-2	218	(10)	463	0.8 (0.4-1.6)	
3+	77	(3)	121	0.6 (0.2-2.0)	0.33 ^e
Age at first full-term pregnancy (years) ^f					
>25	163	(6)	292	1.0 ^d	
25+	132	(7)	292	1.4 (0.6-2.8)	0.33
Type of BBD					
Adenofibroma	93	(3)	218	1.0 ^d	
Mastodynia	43	(4)	71	2.5 (0.6-9.5)	0.22
Fibrocystic disease	204	(13)	392	2.8 (1.1-7.6)	0.04
Other	44	(0)	85	1.6 (0.4-6.6)	0.55
Breast biopsy					
No	293	(17)	583	1.0 ^d	
Yes	91	(3)	183	1.0 (0.5-2.1)	0.94
Oral contraceptive use					
No	244	(13)	468	1.0 ^d	
Yes	140	(7)	298	0.8 (0.4-1.6)	0.43

^aRelative risk of breast cancer calculated from a multivariate Cox model taking into account all the factors and stratified on progestogen use and menopausal status. ^bCI; confidence interval. ^cP-value. ^dReference category. ^eP-value, test for trend between categories. ^fParous women only.

Discussion

In a cohort study of 1,150 premenopausal women with BBD, no modification of BC risk could be observed with overall progesterogen use. When the progesterogens were separated into the 19-nortestosterone derivatives and 'other progesterogens', only the 19-nortestosterone derivatives were significantly associated with a decreased risk in breast cancer.

As BBD diagnosis was not histologically confirmed for all women, data could not be analysed according to the pathological criteria proposed by Dupont and Page in 1985 (non-proliferative disease, proliferative disease without atypia or atypical hyperplasia). We do not think that this lack of information could have modified our conclusions, since the results were not different in women with histologically proven BBD, including fibrocystic disease (Dupont & Page, 1985), and in women with mastalgia (Plu-Bureau *et al.*, 1992), although these subgroup analyses had a limited statistical

Table III Breast cancer counts in relation to the exposed person-months according to the different categories of age and cumulated progesterogen use along the follow-up period

Age (years)	Never-users			1-36 months			37-72 months			> 72 months		
	Cases	Person-months	Risk	Cases	Person-months	Risk	Cases	Person-months	Risk	Cases	Person-months	Risk
20-29	1	5,865	4,417	0	1,152	227	0	1,152	227	0	1,152	227
30-34	1	7,867	5,136	0	1,471	799	0	1,471	799	0	1,471	799
35-39	5	9,760	6,941	1	2,194	1,674	0	2,194	1,674	0	2,194	1,674
40-44	1	11,870	9,053	0	4,099	2,968	0	4,099	2,968	0	4,099	2,968
45+	12	27,793	24,482	3	10,422	11,347	5	10,422	11,347	5	10,422	11,347
Total	20	63,155	50,029	4	19,338	17,015	5	19,338	17,015	5	19,338	17,015
19-Nortestosterone derivatives	1	6,271	4,273	0	1,001	133	0	1,001	133	0	1,001	133
20-29	1	9,089	4,626	0	1,008	529	0	1,008	529	0	1,008	529
30-34	1	11,940	6,437	0	1,390	840	0	1,390	840	0	1,390	840
35-39	5	15,163	8,065	0	3,161	1,636	0	3,161	1,636	0	3,161	1,636
40-44	3	36,638	21,686	3	8,453	7,198	2	8,453	7,198	2	8,453	7,198
45+	19	79,101	45,087	3	15,013	10,336	2	15,013	10,336	2	15,013	10,336
Total	29	106,266	34,458	6	34,458	2,330	2	34,458	2,330	2	34,458	2,330
Other progesterogens	2	9,850	1,752	0	84	0	0	84	0	0	84	0
20-29	1	12,187	2,664	0	366	61	0	366	61	0	366	61
30-34	5	15,099	4,343	0	810	336	0	810	336	0	810	336
35-39	2	19,527	6,587	0	1,435	445	0	1,435	445	0	1,435	445
40-44	18	49,603	19,112	2	3,788	1,488	1	3,788	1,488	1	3,788	1,488
45+	28	106,266	34,458	2	6,483	2,330	1	6,483	2,330	1	6,483	2,330
Total	55	206,266	63,155	10	63,155	17,015	5	63,155	17,015	5	63,155	17,015

Table IV Relative risk of breast cancer (RR) associated with the cumulated duration of progesterogen use

Duration of progesterogen use (months)	Number of breast cancers	RR ^a	95% confidence interval ^b	RR ^c	95% confidence interval ^b	RR ^d	95% confidence interval ^b	All categories of progesterogens		19-Nortestosterone derivatives		Other progesterogens			
								Ever use	RR ^e	95% confidence interval ^b	Ever use	RR ^e	95% confidence interval ^b	Ever use	RR ^e
0	384	1.00 ^f		1.00 ^f		1.00 ^f		20	0.91	0.47-1.78	0.84	0.43-1.65	28	1.00 ^f	0.61-2.38
1-36	435	0.91	0.20-1.75	0.84	0.18-1.53	0.82	0.17-1.54	15	0.60	0.29-1.24	0.56	0.27-1.15	13	1.33	0.17-3.05
37-72	124	0.60	0.29-1.24	0.52	0.23-1.15	0.49	0.18-1.39	4	0.78	0.29-2.09	0.64	0.23-1.75	2	1.04	0.12-7.04
73+	207	0.45	0.14-1.88	0.35	0.08-1.50	0.27	0.06-1.17	5	0.45	0.14-1.88	0.35	0.08-1.50	1	1.41	0.12-7.04
0	551	1.00 ^f		1.00 ^f		1.00 ^f		29	1.00 ^f	0.29-1.24	0.56	0.27-1.15	28	1.00 ^f	0.61-2.38
1-36	363	0.60	0.29-1.24	0.44	0.13-1.47	0.57	0.28-1.18	10	0.60	0.29-1.24	0.56	0.27-1.15	13	1.33	0.17-3.05
37-72	101	0.50	0.15-1.65	0.44	0.13-1.47	0.43	0.13-1.45	3	0.50	0.15-1.65	0.44	0.13-1.47	2	1.04	0.12-7.04
73+	135	0.45	0.14-1.88	0.35	0.08-1.50	0.27	0.06-1.17	2	0.45	0.14-1.88	0.35	0.08-1.50	1	1.41	0.12-7.04
0	677	1.00 ^f		1.00 ^f		1.00 ^f		28	1.00 ^f	0.68-2.57	1.25	0.64-2.43	28	1.00 ^f	0.61-2.38
1-36	377	1.33	0.25-4.39	0.84	0.20-3.59	0.71	0.17-3.05	13	1.33	0.25-4.39	0.84	0.20-3.59	13	1.33	0.17-3.05
37-72	69	1.04	0.19-10.4	1.15	0.16-8.52	0.93	0.12-7.04	2	1.04	0.19-10.4	1.15	0.16-8.52	2	1.04	0.12-7.04
73+	27	1.41	0.19-10.4	1.15	0.16-8.52	0.93	0.12-7.04	1	1.41	0.19-10.4	1.15	0.16-8.52	1	1.41	0.12-7.04
0	473	1.29	0.69-2.39	1.17	0.63-2.19	1.14	0.60-2.15	16	1.29	0.69-2.39	1.17	0.63-2.19	16	1.29	0.60-2.15
1-36	473	1.29	0.69-2.39	1.17	0.63-2.19	1.14	0.60-2.15	16	1.29	0.69-2.39	1.17	0.63-2.19	16	1.29	0.60-2.15

^aRelative risk, calculated with a Poisson regression model, adjusted on age, ^bP-value; test for trend, ^cRelative risk calculated with a Cox model, adjusted on age, socioeconomic status, age at menarche, family history of breast cancer in first-degree relatives, type of benign breast disease, oral contraceptive use, parity, age at first full-term pregnancy and change in menopausal status during the follow-up period. ^dReference category.

Table V Distribution of the patients lost to follow-up during the first 10 year period after the inclusion in the study

Characteristics	n	%	p*
Age at first visit (years)	225	75	0.0001
20-29	373	58	
35-39	16		
40-50	33		
Socioeconomic status	552	72	0.0001
Low	164	25	
Middle	501	81	
High	485	99	
Family history of breast cancer in mother or sisters	1018	181	0.14
Yes	132	24	
No	181		
Age at menarche (years)	380	65	0.90
8-12	330	60	
13	17		
14+	18		
Number of children	440	80	0.90
0	380	65	
1-2	330	60	
3+	17		
Age at first full-term pregnancy (years)	271	72	0.0001
1-2	681	105	
3+	198	28	
18-24	455	67	0.76
25-29	303	45	
30+	121	21	
Type of BBD	114	26	0.001
Mastodynia	129	23	
Adenofibroma	311	81	
Fibrocystic disease	596	75	
Other	114	26	
Breast biopsy	876	174	0.001
No	274	31	
Yes	129	23	

unlikely that they would reverse our conclusions and conceal a deleterious effect of these hormones. As the only significant result is associated with the duration of use, the reasons for discontinuing the treatment must be considered. It is clear, from the clinical practice, that the occurrence of side-effects, either metabolic or androgenic, is an important cause of treatment discontinuation. We might therefore wonder whether the patients who tolerated the treatment were at lower risk of BC: if this assumption was correct, one could speculate that the non-tolerance to 19-nortestosterone derivatives would be a marker of BC susceptibility in premenopausal women. Our data set did not allow us to explore this hypothesis further.

19-nortestosterone derivatives might have a protective effect on the risk of breast cancer in premenopausal women with BBD. As the 19-nortestosterone derivatives are known to differ from other progestogens by their affinity for the progesterone and androgen receptors, these results suggest that different types of progestogens might have different effects on the mammary gland (Staffa *et al.*, 1992). This could partially account for the controversy regarding the relationship between progestogen use and the risk of breast cancer (Stanford & Thomas, 1993). Indeed, only the long-term use of progestin derivatives such as MPA has been found to be associated with a slight increase in breast cancer risk in some specific subgroups of women (WHO Collaborative Study of Neoplasia and Steroid Contraceptives, 1991). However, none of the women in our cohort was exposed to this drug. Epidemiological studies on the breast cancer risk associated with the progestogen component of the oral contraceptives have shown contradictory results (UK National Case-Control Study Group, 1989; Pike *et al.*, 1983; Stadel *et al.*, 1985). The main difficulty remains to find a consensus

power. In addition, the observed 10 year incidence of BC in the non-treated women (5%) was similar to those of another cohort of histologically proven BBD (6%), from which the theoretical size of our cohort was established. Our study did not find any relationship between the main risk factors for breast cancer, except for age at diagnosis and the diagnosis of a fibrocystic disease. The limited number of BCs observed during our follow-up period (44) could account for this result. This number of BCs was even more reduced (20) in the group of untreated women and drastically limited the possibility of detecting a significant effect for these risk factors, considering the observed beneficial effect of progestogen in the group of treated women, which could have cancelled out the possibility of detecting a deleterious effect of those risk factors for BC.

A possible source of bias in our results could have been the fact that progestogens were more frequently prescribed to patients with low risk of BC than to patients with high risk of BC. However, this bias cannot be retained since the percentage of women treated with progestogens was identical among patients with fibrocystic disease (high risk of breast cancer) and those with another BBD (66% vs 68%). In addition, the percentage of progestogen users was systematically higher in all the subgroups of patients with a risk factor for BC, as shown in Table I. Conversely, the observed effect might be explained by a deleterious effect of the use of other sex hormones, such as oral contraceptives or HRT in the group of women not exposed to progestogens. However, no cancer occurred among the 164 menopausal women who had used HRT, and only one cancer appeared among the 138 patients who had used OC after the inclusion in the study. In any case, if some unrecognized biases might partially explain the magnitude of the decrease in the BC risk after chronic use of 19-nortestosterone derivatives, it is

likelihood of similar reactions both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, or an identity of action of progestogens on both non-cancerous and cancerous epithelial breast cells (Longman & Buehring, 1987). To our knowledge, this study is the first specifically designed to analyse the effect of oral isolated progestogen use on breast cancer risk in women with BBD. The conclusions of the present epidemiological cohort study suggest that some categories of progestogens, administered at a dose known to exert an antiandrogenic effect, might be useful in breast cancer prevention. This is important at a time when large randomised multicentric trials on breast cancer prevention (Powles *et al.*, 1989; Fisher *et al.*, 1992) are investigating the efficiency of the antioestrogenic agent tamoxifen.

The study was partially supported by The Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer and by the Ministère de l'Éducation Nationale. The authors wish to express their gratitude to D. Jeanne, PhD, for her participation in data management, to Drs D. Sarrazin, N. Sterkers, M.J. Blin, J. Beauvais, M. Deroeux, N. Matron and I. Boucot and Professor J. Fermanian for their help in the organisation and the management of the study, and to L. Saint-Angé for editing the manuscript. We thank Professor F. Kuitenen for her continuous support during the course of the study and her insightful comments on the presentation of the results and the references for their very constructive criticism.

References

BARRAT, J. & DURAND-CHENE, F. (1980). Contraception by progestational agents administered in sequential form. *Nouv. Presse Méd.*, **9**, 1491-1494.

BARRAT, J., DELIGNIERES, B., MARPEAU, L. & 6 others. (1990). Effet *in vivo* de l'administration de progestérone sur l'activité mitotique des galactophores humains. *J. Gynecol. Obstet. Biol. Reprod.*, **19**, 269-274.

BASSLER, R. (1970). The morphology of hormone induced structural changes in female breast. *Curr. Top. Pathol.*, **53**, 1-89.

BERGKAVIST, I., ADAMI, H.O., PERSSON, I., HOOVER, R. & SCHAIRER, C. (1989). The risk of breast cancer after oestrogen and oestrogen-progestin replacement. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, **321**, 293-297.

BODIAN, C.A. (1993). Benign breast diseases, carcinoma *in situ*, and breast cancer risk. *Epidemiol. Rev.*, **15**, 177-187.

BRINTON, L.A., VESSEY, M.P., FLAVEL, R. & YEATES, D. (1981). Risk factors for benign breast disease. *Am. J. Epidemiol.*, **113**, 203-214.

CLARKE, C.L. & SUTHERLAND, R.L. (1990). Progestin regulation of cellular proliferation. *Endocr. Rev.*, **2**, 266-301.

COLDITZ, G.A., EGAN, K.M. & STAMPFER, M.J. (1993). Hormone replacement therapy and risk of breast cancer: results from epidemiological studies. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.*, **168**, 1473-1480.

COX, D.R. (1972). Regression models and life tables. *J.R. Stat. Soc.*, **2**, 187-220.

DIXON, W.J. (1981). *BMDP statistical software*. University of California Press: Berkeley, CA.

DORFLINGER, L.J. (1985). Relative potency of progestins used in oral contraceptives. *Contraception*, **6**, 557-570.

DUPONT, W.D. & PAGE, D.L. (1985). Risks factors for breast cancer in women with proliferative breast disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, **312**, 146-151.

EDGREN, R.A. & STURTEVANT, F.M. (1976). Potencies of oral contraceptives. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.*, **125**, 1029-1038.

EGERT (1980). Statistics and Epidemiology Research Corporation. Cytel Software Corporation: Seattle.

EISEN, M.J. (1932). The occurrence of benign and malignant mammary lesions in rats treated with crystalline oestrogen. *Cancer Res.*, **2**, 632-644.

ERNSTER, V.L. & CUMMINGS, S.R. (1986). Progesterone and breast cancer. *Obstet. Gynecol.*, **68**, 715-717.

EWERTZ, M. (1992). Oral contraceptives and breast cancer risk in Denmark. *Eur. J. Cancer*, **28A**, 1176-1181.

FERGUSON, D.J.P. & ANDERSON, T.J. (1981). Morphological evaluation of cell turnover in relation to the menstrual cycle in the 'resting' human breast. *Br. J. Cancer*, **44**, 177-181.

FISHER, B., REDMOND, C., FORD, L.G., NAVFIELD, S.G. & GREEN-WALD, P. (1992). Should healthy women take tamoxifen? *N. Engl. J. Med.*, **327**, 1596-1597.

FREEDMAN, L.S. (1982). Tables of numbers of patients required in clinical trials using the logrank test. *Stat. Med.*, **1**, 121-129.

GAMBRELL, R.D. (1983). Decreased incidence of breast cancer in postmenopausal oestrogen-progestogen users. *Obstet. Gynecol.*, **62**, 435-443.

HAAGENSEN, C.D. (1971). Physician's role in the detection and diagnosis of breast disease. In *Diseases of the Breast*, C.D. Haagensen (ed) pp. 99-148. W.B. Saunders: Philadelphia.

HENDERSON, B.E., ROSS, R. & BERNSTEIN, L. (1988). Oestrogens as a cause of human cancer. *Cancer Res.*, **48**, 246-253.

HORWITZ, K.B., WEI, L.T., SEDLACER, S.M. & D'ARVILLE, C.N. (1985). Progestin action and progesterone receptor structure in human breast cancer: a review. *Recent Prog Horm. Res.*, **41**, 249-316.

INSEE (1983). *Nomenclature des Professions et Catégories Professionnelles*. INSEE: Paris.

JENG, M.H., PARKER, C.J. & JORDAN, V.C. (1992). Oestrogenic potential of progestins in oral contraceptives to stimulate human breast cancer cell proliferation. *Cancer Res.*, **52**, 6539-6546.

KEY, T.J.A. & PIKE, M.C. (1988). The role of oestrogens and progestogens in the epidemiology and prevention of breast cancer. *Eur. J. Cancer Clin. Oncol.*, **24**, 29-43.

KUITENEN, F., MOUFFAREGE, A. & MAUVAIS-JARVIS, P. (1978). The hormonal basis of discontinuous progestational contraception. *Nouv. Presse Méd.*, **7**, 3109-3113.

KUITENEN, F., FOURNIER, S., DURAND, J.C. & MAUVAIS-JARVIS, P. (1981). Estradiol and progesterone receptors in human breast fibroadenomas. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.*, **52**, 1225-1229.

LEE, N.C. & RUBIN, G.T. (1984). Breast cancer in postmenopausal oestrogen-progestogen users. *Obstet. Gynecol.*, **64**, 832-834.

LIPPMAN, M.E., DICKSON, R.B., BATES, S. & 7 others. (1986). Autocrine and paracrine growth regulation of human breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.*, **7**, 59-70.

LONGMANN, S.M. & BUEHRING, G.C. (1987). A correlative morphology study of human breast and endometrium in the menstrual cycle. *Am. J. Surg. Pathol.*, **10**, 382-393.

LONGMAN, S.M. & BUEHRING, G.C. (1987). Oral contraceptives and breast cancer. *In vivo* effect of contraceptive steroids on human mammary cell growth. *Cancer*, **59**, 281-287.

MCFADYEN, I.J., RAAB, G.M., MACINTYRE, C.C.A. & FORREST, A.P.M. (1989). Progesterone cream for cyclic breast pain. *Br. Med. J.*, **298**, 931.

MASTERS, J.R.W., DRIFE, J.O. & SCARISBRICK, J.T. (1977). Cyclic variation of DNA synthesis in human breast epithelium. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.*, **58**, 1263-1265.

MAUDELONDE, T., LAVAUD, P., SALAZAR, G., LAFARGUE, F. & ROCHFERT, H. (1991). Progestin treatment depresses oestrogen receptor but not cathepsin D levels in needle aspirates of benign breast disease. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.*, **19**, 95-102.

MAUVAIS-JARVIS, P. (1983). Progesterone and progestins: a general overview. In *Progesterone and Progestins*, Bardin C.W., Milligrom, E. & Mauvais-Jarvis, P. (eds), pp. 1-16. Raven Press: New York.

MAUVAIS-JARVIS, P. (1988). Mastodynia and fibrocystic disease. In *Current Therapy in Endocrinology and Metabolism*, Vol. 3. Bardin, C.W. (ed), pp. 280-284. B.C. Decker: Philadelphia.

MAUVAIS-JARVIS, P., SITRUK-WARE, R. & KUTTEN, F. (1982). Luteal phase defect and breast cancer genesis. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.*, 2, 139-150.

MAUVAIS-JARVIS, P., KUTTEN, F. & GOMPEL, A. (1986). Antioestrogen action of progesterone in breast tissue. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.*, 8, 179-187.

MEYER, J.S. (1977). Cell proliferation in normal human breast ducts, fibroadenomas, and other ductal hyperplasias measured by nuclear labeling with tritiated thymidine. Effects of menstrual phase, age and oral contraception. *Hum. Pathol.*, 8, 67-81.

MILLER, A.B. & BULBROOK, R.D. (1980). The epidemiology and etiology of breast cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 303, 1246-1248.

PEARCE, N. & CHECKOWAY, H. (1987). A simple computer program for generating person-time data in cohort studies involving time-related factors. *Am. J. Epidemiol.*, 125, 1085-1091.

PERSSON, I., YUEN, J., BERGKVIST, L., ADAMI, H.O., HOOVER, R. & SCHAIRER, C. (1992). Combined oestrogen-progesteron replacement and breast cancer risk. *Lancet*, ii, 1044.

PIKE, M.C., HENDERSON, B.E., KRAIHO, M.D., DUKE, A. & ROY, S. (1983). Breast cancer in young women and use of oral contraceptives: possible modifying effect of formulation and age at use. *Lancet*, ii, 926-930.

PLU-BUREAU, G., THALABARD, J.C., SITRUK-WARE, R., ASSELAINE, B. & MAUVAIS-JARVIS, P. (1992). Cyclical mastalgia as a marker among French women. *Br. J. Cancer*, 65, 945-949.

POTTEN, C.S., WATSON, R.J., WILLIAMS, G.T. & 4 others. (1988). The effect of age and menstrual cycle upon proliferative activity on the normal human breast. *Br. J. Cancer*, 58, 163-170.

POWLES, T.J., HARDY, J.R., ASHLEY, S.E. & 9 others. (1989). A pilot trial to evaluate the acute toxicity and feasibility of tamoxifen for prevention of breast cancer. *Br. J. Cancer*, 60, 126-131.

ROYAL COLLEGE OF GENERAL PRACTITIONERS ORAL CONTRACEPTIVE STUDY (1977). Effect on hypertension and benign breast disease of progesterone component in combined oral contraceptives. *Lancet*, i, 624-626.

SILLERO-ARENAS, M., DELGADO-RODRIGUEZ, M., RODRIGUES-CANTERAS, R., BUENO-CAVANCHILLAS, A. & GALVEZ-VARGAS, R. (1992). Menopause hormone replacement therapy and breast cancer: a meta-analysis. *Obstet. Gynecol.*, 79, 286-294.

STADEL, B.V., RUBIN, G.T., WEBSTER, L.A., SCHLESSELMAN, J.J. & WINGO, P.A. (1985). Oral contraceptives and breast cancer in young women. *Lancet*, ii, 970-973.

STAFFA, J.A., NEWSCHAFER, C.T., JONES, J.K. & MILLER, V. (1992). Progestins and breast cancer: an epidemiologic review. *Fertil. Steril.*, 3, 473-491.

STANFORD, J.L. & THOMAS, D.B. (1993). Exogenous progestins and breast cancer. *Epidemiol. Rev.*, 15, 98-107.

UK NATIONAL CASE-CONTROL STUDY GROUP (1989). Oral contraceptive use and breast cancer risk in young women. *Lancet*, i, 973-982.

VOGEL, P.M., GEORGIADIS, N.G., SETTER, B.F., VOGEL, S. & MCCARTY, K. (1981). The correlation of histologic changes in the human breast with the menstrual cycle. *Am. J. Pathol.*, 104, 23-34.

WHO COLLABORATIVE STUDY OF NEOPLASIA AND STEROID CONTRACEPTIVES (1991). Breast cancer and depot-medroxyprogesterone acetate: a multinational study. *Lancet*, ii, 833-838.